From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Catherine, Alain RECEIVED | REGU **CABINET HARLE & PHELIP** 7. rue de Madrid F-75008 Paris **FRANCE** Cabinet HARLE et PHELIP

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(dav/month/vear)

08.03.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/06995

N696PCT

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year) 30.06.2003

Priority date (day/month/year) 01.07.2002

ESSILOR INTERNATIONAL COMPAGNIE GENERALE... et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts, (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Kurzbauer, K

Tel. +49 89 2399-6020



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

N696PC1			FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
			International filing date (da 30.06.2003	y/month/ye	ear) Priority date (day/month/year) 01.07.2002	1 2 1			
	ational Pa C33/38	atent Classification (IPC) c	r both national classification and	IPC					
Applio ESS		ITERNATIONAL CO	MPAGNIE GENERALE	et al.					
1.			xamination report has been p he applicant according to Art		by this International Preliminary Examin	ing			
2.	eet.								
	be	en amended and are th	panied by ANNEXES, i.e. shoe basis for this report and/or ion 607 of the Administrative	sheets c	ne description, claims and/or drawings who containing rectifications made before this ions under the PCT).	hich have Authority			
	These a	nnexes consist of a tot	al of sheets.						
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	ı ⊠	Basis of the opinion							
		Priority							
		Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to nove	elty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability				
	IV 🗆	· ·	Lack of unity of invention						
	V 🗵		it under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with attions supporting such state		novelty, inventive step or industrial app	licability;			
	VI 🗆	Certain documents	cited						
	VII 🗆	Certain defects in the	e international application						
	ŅIII □	Certain observation	s on the international applica	tion		,			
Date o	of submis	sion of the demand	l c	ate of com	npletion of this report				
					,				
30.01.2004			0	8.03.200	04				
		ing address of the internat mining authority:	onal A	uthorized	Officer	STATE OF S AVENUE			
	<u></u>	European Patent Office 0-80298 Munich	С	e Waard	d, W	(O))			
		'el. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 'ax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	3656 epmu d		No. +49 89 2399-2918	<u> </u>			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/06995

I.	Bas	ie	of	the	ren	ort
	Das	13	v.	uic	167	UI L

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-1	3	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-1	5	as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed				
2.		With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	Witi inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the international application in written form.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/06995

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they h	ave
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15

Inventive step (IS)

lo: Claims

1-15

Yes: Claims No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The nearest cited prior art document appears to be WO-A-9929494 (hereinafter referred to as D1).
 - D1 discloses a method for obtaining an ophthalmic lens comprising a surface utility microstructure obtained in a mould having an internal surface bearing the required microstructure.
- The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the method disclosed in D1, in that an 2. elastomeric film having a main surface bearing a replica of a utilitary microstructure is produced which is subsequently stretched over a curved surface bearing a curable coating composition.
 - This feature is neither known from the other cited documents concerning the same subject nor is therein hinted at.
 - As a consequence, the claimed invention must be considered to involve an inventive step.
- 3. Dependent claims 2-15 define further embodiments of the invention and involve. therefore, an inventive step too.

<u>Certain observations on the international application</u>

Contrary tot the statement on page 7, line 9, the film shown in figure 5 is not flat.

The reference to Figure 1A on page 9, line 31 should possibly read "11".